

# **Scalable, Multi-Agent Reinforcement Learning for Dynamic Workplace EV Charging: Cost Efficiency and Grid Curtailment Response**

## **Skalierbares Multi-Agenten-Verstärkungslernen für dynamisches Laden von Elektrofahrzeugen am Arbeitsplatz: Kosteneffizienz und Reaktion auf Netzabregelung**

Electric vehicles (EVs) are becoming more popular throughout the world as governments and consumers work to minimize greenhouse gas emissions and fossil fuel reliance [1]. Workplace charging is a particularly attractive use case since EVs are frequently parked for extended periods of time (6-8 hours), allowing for more flexible scheduling. Current workplace charging techniques often use rule-based ways to evenly distribute power across all EVs [2]. This even allocation strategy ignores changing energy costs and does not respond when grid operators seek power restriction [3].

Recent research has shown that model-free, multi-agent reinforcement learning (MARL) frameworks with centralised training and decentralised execution (CTDE) can achieve significant cost savings (around 9% lower cost) and load variation improvements (up to 36% reduction) when compared to static methods [3, 5]. Furthermore, federated and pre-trained systems have emerged as potential ways for scalable control that do not require retraining when the number of charging stations varies [3,4,5].

Despite substantial breakthroughs in MARL for EV charging management, the majority of research is focused on residential or general charging settings. There is also a vacuum in specifically addressing the office charging setting, which combines extended parking periods, dynamic energy pricing, and urgent grid curtailment requirements.

### *Research Objectives and Questions*

This thesis seeks to create, build, and test a scalable MARL-based energy management system for workplace EV charging. To outperform typical rule-based schedulers, the system will use dynamic energy price and respond quickly to grid curtailment signals.

#### Important Research Questions:

- How may transfer learning be used to adapt a previously trained model to varying system sizes without requiring whole retraining?
- How efficiently does the MARL-based system implement dynamic power curtailment requests from the grid operator in real time compared to rule-based methods?
- How much does a MARL-based scheduler cut charging expenses at a workplace compared to a rule-based scheduler that distributes power uniformly without considering dynamic energy prices?

### *Proposed Methodology and Major Steps*

The proposed work consists of the following parts:

- Review MARL-based EV charging management, dynamic pricing, and workplace charging strategies.
- Model workplace EV charging as an MDP with EV state-of-charge, arrival/departure schedules, dynamic energy prices, and grid curtailment signals.

- Create a scalable MARL framework strategy for decentralised control of charging stations.
- Use a rule-based scheduler to fairly distribute available power across EVs as a baseline, while ignoring dynamic price implications.
- Conduct simulation studies with genuine workplace charge data to assess cost efficiency and response to grid curtailment requests.

*Proposed Timeline:*

- Month 1: Review of Literature, Gathering of Data, and Configuration of the Environment.
- Month 2: Establish a baseline rule-based scheduler
- Month 3: Design and create the distributed MARL framework.
- Month 4: Using actual workplace charging data, implement and simulate both MARL and rule-based controllers while recording important performance indicators.
- Month 5: Analyze simulation results, compare system performance (cost efficiency and curtailment response).
- Month 6: Final revisions and thesis writing.

**Advisors:** Dr. Alexandros Tanzanakis,  
Dr. Martin Wiemer (Fraunhofer IEE) ,  
Prof. Dr. Björn Eskofier (Supervising Professor)

**Student:** Diganto Deb Barma  
**Start—End:** 01.04.2025—30.09.2025

## References

- [1] Zhao, T., & Lee, C. K. M. (2022). Dynamic pricing for EV charging stations: A deep reinforcement learning approach. *IEEE Transactions on Transportation Electrification*, 8(2), 2456–2468. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TTE.2021.3139674>
- [2] Zhao, T., et al. (2021). Deep reinforcement learning control of electric vehicle charging in the presence of photovoltaic generation. *Applied Energy*, 301, 117504. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2021.117504>
- [3] Shojaeighadikolaie, A., Talata, Z., & Hashemi, M. (2024). Centralized vs. decentralized multi-agent reinforcement learning for enhanced control of electric vehicle charging networks. arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.12520v1.
- [4] Jamjuntr, P., Techawatcharapaikul, C., & Suanpang, P. (2024). Adaptive multi-agent reinforcement learning for optimizing dynamic electric vehicle charging networks in Thailand. *World Electric Vehicle Journal*, 15(10), 453. <https://doi.org/10.3390/wevj15100453>
- [5] Korkas, C. D., Tsaknakis, C. D., Kapoutsis, A. C., & Kosmatopoulos, E. (2024). Distributed and Multi-Agent Reinforcement Learning Framework for Optimal Electric Vehicle Charging Scheduling. *Energies*, 17(15), 3694. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en17153694>
- [6] Qian, J., Jiang, Y., Liu, X., Wang, Q., Wang, T., Shi, Y., & Chen, W. (2023). Federated reinforcement learning for electric vehicles charging control on distribution networks [Preprint]. arXiv. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2308.08792>