

## Full length article

# Speed dependent effects of laterally wedged insoles on gait biomechanics in healthy subjects

Felix Kluge<sup>a, c, \*</sup>, Sebastian Krinner<sup>b</sup>, Matthias Lochmann<sup>c</sup>, Bjoern M. Eskofier<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Digital Sports Group, Pattern Recognition Lab, Department of Computer Science, Friedrich-Alexander University Erlangen-Nürnberg (FAU), Martensstrasse 3, 91058 Erlangen, Germany

<sup>b</sup> Department of Trauma Surgery, University Hospital Erlangen, Krankenhausstraße 12, 91054 Erlangen, Germany

<sup>c</sup> Institute of Sport Science and Sport, Friedrich-Alexander University Erlangen-Nürnberg (FAU), Gebbertstraße 123B, 91058 Erlangen, Germany

## ARTICLE INFO

## Keywords:

Walking speed  
Kinematics  
Kinetics  
Orthotic device  
Joint loading  
Knee osteoarthritis

## ABSTRACT

Laterally wedged insoles have been shown to be effective for the reduction of the knee adduction moment and other biomechanical variables that are associated with the pathogenesis of knee osteoarthritis. However, inconclusive results such as adverse effects in individual subjects or even no group-wise wedge effects have been presented in different studies and it has been suggested to identify variables that potentially confound the wedge effect. The main objective of this study was the investigation of interaction effects of lateral wedges with walking speed, as different self-select speeds have mainly been used in previous studies.

Twenty-two healthy subjects completed gait analysis trials on an instrumented treadmill. They walked in different speed conditions (0.9, 1.1, 1.3, 1.5 m/s) with a neutral and a laterally wedged insole. Kinematics were acquired using infrared cinematography with reflective markers attached to the lower body. From the stance phase we extracted biomechanical parameters that are associated with knee joint loading and osteoarthritis severity.

No interaction effect of lateral wedges and speed was observed for most biomechanical parameters except for the ankle eversion range of motion. The main effects of wedges were reductions of the external knee adduction moment and of the knee adduction angular impulse. All biomechanical variables changed with increasing speed. Only the lateral offset of the center of pressure did not respond to wedge or to speed changes.

Our results suggest that different self-selected speeds do not confound the effect of laterally wedged insoles.

## 1. Introduction

Knee osteoarthritis (OA) is a progressive musculoskeletal disorder with high prevalence of 12% in adults aged 60 and older [1]. Its pathogenesis has been associated with biomechanical factors such as increased medial knee compartment load which results from the ground reaction force (GRF) passing medially to the knee joint during gait giving rise to the external knee adduction moment (EKAM) [2–5]. Laterally wedged insoles have been proposed as a non-invasive method for the treatment of early stage OA by reducing knee joint loading [4,6]. By applying lateral wedges, the frontal plane lower limb alignment is altered towards a more valgus alignment, resulting in a reduction of knee joint moment arm, a more vertical alignment of the GRF and thus a decreased EKAM [7].

While many studies have shown evidence for biomechanical lateral wedge efficacy with EKAM reductions of up to 10% [8], single subjects showed no or adverse reactions and some studies demonstrated no or little group effects of wedges on some biomechanically relevant parameters [5,7,9–11]. Additionally, studies on the clinical benefits are still inconclusive [12]. This indicates that clinical and biomechanical responses are not universal and may depend on other variables that potentially confound the effect of insoles.

Gait speed has an effect on kinematic and kinetic variables [13] and it has been suggested that it might influence the biomechanical effect of wedged insoles when comparing subjects walking at different self-selected speeds [10].

The purpose of this study was therefore to systematically investigate the effect of gait speed on wedge efficacy for biomechanically relevant parameters such as the knee adduction moment in a population

\* Corresponding author at: Martensstrasse 3, 91058, Erlangen, Germany.

Email addresses: felix.kluge@fau.de (F. Kluge); sebastian.krinner@uk-erlangen.de (S. Krinner); matthias.lochmann@fau.de (M. Lochmann); bjoern.eskofier@fau.de (B.M. Eskofier)

of healthy adults. We hypothesized that differences in biomechanical parameters due to speed or condition would be found as previously suggested. Secondly, we investigated a potential interaction effect of speed and wedge application. The results of our biomechanical study contribute to the interpretation of speed related wedge effects.

## 2. Methods

Twenty-two participants (mean  $\pm$  stdev, 19 females, 3 males; age:  $22.3 \pm 2.2$  years; mass:  $62.1 \pm 9.1$  kg; height:  $166 \pm 8$  cm) without any history of injuries or any other disease affecting the musculoskeletal system and no experience in wearing laterally wedged insoles for the treatment of orthopedic disorders were included in this study. Exclusion criteria were an age above 35 to limit undiagnosed degenerations to the musculoskeletal system. The local ethics committee approved the study and written informed consent was obtained from all participants before enrollment.

We collected three-dimensional kinematic and kinetic gait data using an eight camera Qualisys (Qualisys AB, Gothenburg, Sweden) motion capture system sampling at 200 Hz and an instrumented split-belt treadmill with integrated force plates (Bertec Corporation, Columbus, OH) sampling at 1000 Hz. The marker set consisted of 38 retro-reflective which were placed on the pelvis and the lower extremities following anatomical palpation guidelines [14]. They were placed on the anterior and posterior superior iliac spines for the definition of the pelvis and on the greater trochanters, medial and lateral femoral epicondyles, medial and lateral malleoli for the definition of the hip, knee and ankle joint centers. Clusters of four markers were placed laterally on each thigh and shank for tracking. Foot markers were placed on the shoes above the first, second and fifth metatarsal heads and on the aspect of the Achilles tendon insertion of the calcaneus.

All participants wore the same shoe model (Green Silence, Brooks) with no pronation or supination support. In the first experimental condition, the foot was positioned neutrally using unwedged insoles with individualized arch support (igli insoles, medi, Bayreuth, Germany). The subtalar joint neutral position was adjusted for each subject by a professional orthopedic technician. Arch support insoles have been used in previous studies for a better fit, improved comfort, and they might better transfer mechanics to the knee [15,16]. The second experimental condition consisted of wearing laterally wedged cork insoles with a height of 4 mm over the full length of the insole that were placed below the neutral insole (Fig. 1). This amount of wedging corresponds to a wedging angle of  $4-5^\circ$  which is in the range of previously used wedges [8].

After collection of a static calibration trial, the subjects started to walk on the treadmill at a velocity of 1.3 m/s for an acclimatization period of 5 min. They subsequently walked for one minute at gait

speeds of 0.9 m/s, 1.1 m/s 1.3 m/s and 1.5 m/s in both insole conditions, resulting in a total of  $2 \times 4$  experimental conditions. The order of speeds and wedge application was randomized to distribute any potential accommodation effect to treadmill walking over all different conditions, with changing the insole only once during the whole measurement.

Data was further processed in Visual3D (v6, C-Motion, Germantown, MD). A potential drift in the force plate data was removed by subtracting the mean of the unloaded force plate values during the airborne phases for each condition. The kinematic and kinetic data was low-pass filtered using a fourth order Butterworth filter with a cut-off frequency of 12 Hz. The gait events heel-strike and toe-off were detected for each foot separately based on a threshold of 20 N on the vertical ground reaction force component. This threshold has been shown to be high enough to avoid treadmill induced noise while maintaining a high detection sensitivity of those gait events [17]. As we told the subjects to walk in the middle of the treadmill but not to avoid the gap, we checked the data for steps spanning both belts and manually removed these steps during post-processing. Using the static standing trial and the subjects' anthropometry, a biomechanical model with seven lower body segments (feet, shank, thigh, and pelvis) was defined. Inverse kinematics and kinetics was calculated in Visual3D to determine three-dimensional joint angles and joint moments. The joint moments were normalized by each subject's mass.

The biomechanical curves during stance phase were averaged for each experimental condition, subject and leg. Parameter extraction was performed in MATLAB (R2016b, The MathWorks, Natick, MA). We extracted the following biomechanical parameters from the mean curves that have been suggested and are related to knee joint loading [7,18,19]: the peak external knee adduction moment (EKAM), the knee adduction angular impulse (KAAI), the range of motion (ROM) of knee adduction and flexion as well as the ROM of the ankle eversion during stance phase. The vertical and medio-lateral components of the ground reaction force (GRF), the knee joint moment arm (distance from the joint to the GRF vector) and the center of pressure (COP) coordinate relative to the foot coordinate system were calculated at the time of the first peak of the EKAM.

We examined each leg separately resulting in  $n = 44$  cases subject to all  $2 \times 4$  experimental conditions. To account for inflated familywise error rates and for potential correlations between the dependent variables we first conducted a repeated measures MANOVA in R 3.3.3 [20]. Follow-up two-way repeated measures ANOVAs were performed for each biomechanical parameter to identify significant changes due to wedge or speed or an interaction of both factors with a Bonferroni corrected a-priori level of  $\alpha = 0.05/9 \approx 0.006$ . If the assumption of sphericity had been violated for the main effects, the degrees of freedom were corrected using Greenhouse-Geisser estimates of sphericity.




Fig. 1. Laterally wedged insoles. Lateral view (A) and medial view (B).

### 3. Results

An overview over the biomechanical parameters subject to different speeds and insoles is shown in Fig. 2. Table 1 depicts the corresponding numeric values. Using Pillai's trace, significant effects of speed and wedge on the biomechanical variables were determined in the MANOVA (speed:  $p < 0.001$ , wedge:  $p = 0.03$ , interaction:  $p = 0.05$ ). Follow-up ANOVAs revealed significant changes of the peak EKAM and the KAAI for speed and wedge condition separately but no significant

interaction effect (Table 2). Averaged over all speeds, the EKAM decreased by 2.5 % and the KAAI by 3.9 % in the wedge condition. The knee moment arm, the knee adduction ROM, the knee flexion ROM and the lateral and vertical ground reaction force components responded to a change of speed, but not to the wedge condition. The knee moment arm increased by 42.6% in the fast versus slow walking condition. The knee adduction ROM increased by 13.4%, the knee flexion ROM decreased by 2.1%, the GRF in medial direction increased by 52.2%, and the vertical GRF increased by 19.2% for fast versus slow gait. The lateral offset of the COP at the peak EKAM did not change




Fig. 2. Interaction plots of biomechanical parameters subject to changes in speed and wedged or neutral insoles (BW = body weight). Shown are mean values with 95% confidence intervals.

Table 1 Mean (standard deviation below) of considered biomechanical variables for all insole conditions (wedge vs. neutral) and all speeds (0.9, 1.1, 1.3, 1.5 m/s).

Speed [m/s]	0.9		1.1		1.3		1.5	
W = Wedge N = Neutral	W	N	W	N	W	N	W	N
EKAM [Nm/kg]	0.44 (0.12)	0.46 (0.13)	0.47 (0.13)	0.48 (0.13)	0.53 (0.14)	0.54 (0.14)	0.58 (0.15)	0.59 (0.16)
KAAI [Nms/kg]	0.19 (0.06)	0.20 (0.07)	0.17 (0.06)	0.18 (0.06)	0.16 (0.05)	0.17 (0.06)	0.15 (0.05)	0.15 (0.05)
Knee moment arm [cm]	6.4 (1.8)	6.6 (2.0)	7.8 (2.1)	7.9 (2.3)	8.9 (2.1)	9.0 (2.2)	9.2 (2.0)	9.4 (2.1)
ROM knee adduction [deg]	5.5 (2.5)	5.5 (3.0)	5.9 (2.4)	6.0 (2.7)	6.0 (2.2)	6.1 (2.7)	6.1 (2.2)	6.3 (2.6)
ROM knee flexion [deg]	42.8 (3.2)	42.4 (3.5)	43.8 (3.2)	43.5 (3.2)	43.1 (3.0)	42.6 (3.2)	41.8 (2.8)	41.6 (3.0)
GRF, medial [N/BW]	0.071 (0.008)	0.070 (0.009)	0.082 (0.011)	0.080 (0.010)	0.094 (0.015)	0.093 (0.015)	0.108 (0.017)	0.107 (0.018)
GRF, vertical [N/BW]	0.99 (0.05)	1.00 (0.04)	1.05 (0.07)	1.04 (0.07)	1.12 (0.08)	1.12 (0.08)	1.19 (0.11)	1.18 (0.10)
ROM ankle eversion [deg]	9.3 (2.4)	8.5 (2.2)	9.2 (2.1)	8.6 (2.0)	9.6 (2.1)	9.1 (1.7)	9.8 (2.0)	9.5 (1.7)
COP lateral offset [cm]	1.05 (0.30)	1.05 (0.29)	1.03 (0.32)	1.01 (0.28)	1.02 (0.30)	1.00 (0.27)	1.04 (0.31)	1.01 (0.23)

**Table 2**  
Overview over results from the 2 (insole) x 4 (speed) repeated-measures ANOVA.

p-values	Wedge	Speed	Interaction
EKAM [Nm/kg]	< 0.001*	< 0.001*	0.125
KAAI [Nms/kg]	< 0.001*	< 0.001*	0.678
Knee moment arm [cm]	0.097	< 0.001*	0.732
ROM knee adduction [deg]	0.579	< 0.001*	0.715
ROM knee flexion [deg]	0.009	< 0.001*	0.306
GRF, medial [N/BW]	0.069	< 0.001*	0.448
GRF, vertical [N/BW]	0.151	< 0.001*	0.140
ROM ankle eversion [deg]	< 0.001*	0.003*	0.006*
COP lateral offset [cm]	0.509	0.480	0.710

\* Significant at  $p < 0.006$  (Bonferroni corrected  $\alpha$  level).

significantly but hints towards a more lateral COP due to wedges (1.9% increase). The ankle eversion ROM showed an interaction effect. While the ROM was generally higher in the wedge condition, this difference decreased at higher speeds. The ankle eversion ROM increased with higher speeds

#### 4. Discussion

The rationale of our study was the investigation of speed dependent effects of laterally wedged insoles on lower body gait biomechanics. We found no interaction effect between the wedge and speed condition for most of the biomechanically relevant parameters that are associated with knee joint loading and severity of knee OA. The ankle eversion ROM was the only parameter exhibiting an interaction effect, but this interaction is not reflected in kinetics. The main wedge effects in our study are consistent with literature. The peak EKAM and the KAAI decreased with the application of laterally wedges. However, the relative change is smaller than observed previously in studies with healthy subjects where effects of up to 5–10% for the EKAM with similarly high wedges were shown [7,8]. Lateral wedges are thought to result in a more vertical ground reaction force vector, thereby reducing the EKAM [7]. However, we saw no change in the orientation of the GRF vector, as no significant wedge effects for the vertical or medio-lateral ground reaction force components were present in our study.

We expected a lateral shift of the COP from theoretical biomechanical assumptions [7] and from a previous study by Kakihana et al. [19]. However, similar to a study by Maly et al. we did not find a wedge effect on this parameter [9]. Indications that the COP shifts laterally by the application of lateral wedges can nevertheless be seen in our data (Fig. 2). Also, the knee moment arm did not change significantly, which is in agreement with the inconclusive literature [7,10].

The ankle eversion range of motion was significantly changed by the application of the wedge as previously demonstrated by Russell et al. [21]. This reflects the fact that the ankle is the closest joint to the insole that was modeled in our biomechanical analysis. The lateral wedge did not alter the knee adduction angle in accordance with previous results [21].

As expected, all parameters showed speed dependent changes, except for the COP shift. The positive dependency of EKAM on walking speed has previously been shown for OA patients [22] and for a young and healthy population [13]. The KAAI, which is defined as the integral of the EKAM over the time of the stance phase, decreased in our study, which is due to the shortened stance duration at higher speeds. Higher knee flexion and adduction ROM have previously been shown for higher speeds [13]. However, we observed a non-monotonic effect of speed on the knee flexion ROM at the low speed condition and a decreasing ROM at high speeds which could be due to the unfamiliarity of slow and fast treadmill walking. Similar to the knee adduction ROM, the ankle eversion ROM increased in our study, while this increase was

faster in the neutral insole condition. The medio-lateral and vertical GRF components both rose at higher speeds in agreement with literature [23]. Corresponding to this more medially aligned GRF vector, the knee moment arm increased with higher speeds.

The main limitation of our study is that we cannot directly generalize to a population of knee OA patients. The prevalence of varus alignment of the lower body limbs is higher than in a healthy population [24], which might also affect lower body biomechanics and also the efficacy of lateral wedges in this clinical population. As the static knee alignment has been shown to have effects on gait biomechanics [19], this parameter should also be investigated in future studies. Additionally, further biomechanical variables such as coronal plane ankle measures could be included to subgroup the population according to the response to wedged insoles [11]. We did not include other variables in our analysis as the number of subjects was too small to further divide the population. However, the main wedge effects of our study have been shown in healthy as well as clinical populations in previous studies indicating that the main mechanisms are similar in both populations.

Although the use of arch support insoles to assure a neutral foot position condition has benefits such as improved fit, comfort and mechanical transfer [15,16], it might introduce a bias towards a varus knee alignment if not positioned correctly [25]. In our study however, a professional orthopedic technician assured neutral foot positioning. Furthermore, as we only measured the relative change of adding a lateral wedge to the neutral condition, this potential bias was avoided.

We used standardized shoe models to avoid potential interaction of footwear with insole effects. This is a benefit, although the use of unfamiliar footwear might introduce changes in biomechanics compared to habitual footwear [26]. Furthermore, we randomized the order of insole application and speeds in order to distribute potential acclimatization effects over all experimental conditions.

We conclude from our results, that speed has no confounding influence on the effect of lateral wedges when regarding gait biomechanics of healthy subjects. The wedge effect remained constant for most biomechanical variables, regardless of walking speed. Thus, the interpretation of lateral wedge effects of previous studies using self-selected speeds is consistent and not biased by differing inter-individual speeds. However, gait speed should be considered as a covariate when possible, as some kinematic parameters might show interaction effects, such as the ankle eversion ROM in our study. Future studies should additionally incorporate other independent variables into the analysis to increase the variance explained and generalize the results to a clinical population.

#### Conflict of interest statement

None of the authors have any conflicts of interest with respect to this study.

#### Acknowledgments

This study was supported by funding from the Emerging Fields Initiative of the Friedrich-Alexander University Erlangen-Nürnberg (FAU) for the project EFIMoves (funding number: 2\_Med\_03). Bjoern Eskofier gratefully acknowledges the support of the German Research Foundation (DFG) within the framework of the Heisenberg professorship programme (grant number ES 434/8-1).

#### References

- [1] C.F. Dillon, E.K. Rasch, Q. Gu, R. Hirsch, Prevalence of knee osteoarthritis in the United States: arthritis data from the third national health and nutrition examination survey 1991–94, *J. Rheumatol.* 33 (2006) 2271–2279.

- [2] F. Johnson, S. Leidl, W. Waugh, The distribution of load across the knee: a comparison of static and dynamic measurements, *J. Bone Joint Surg.* 62B (1980) 346–349.
- [3] K.B. Shelburne, M.R. Torry, M.G. Pandy, Contributions of muscles, ligaments, and the ground-reaction force to tibiofemoral joint loading during normal gait, *J. Orthop. Res.* 24 (2006) 1983–1990.
- [4] S.J. Crenshaw, F.E. Pollo, E.F. Calton, Effects of lateral-wedged insoles on kinetics at the knee, *Clin. Orthop. Relat. Res.* (2000) 185–192.
- [5] R.T. Lewinson, D.J. Stefanyshyn, Wedged insoles and gait in patients with knee osteoarthritis: a biomechanical review, *Ann. Biomed. Eng.* 44 (2016) 3173–3185.
- [6] T. Sasaki, K. Yasuda, Clinical evaluation of the treatment of osteoarthritic knees using a newly designed wedged insole, *Clin. Orthop. Relat. Res.* (1987) 181–187.
- [7] R.S. Hinman, K.A. Bowles, B.B. Metcalf, T.V. Wrigley, K.L. Bennell, Lateral wedge insoles for medial knee osteoarthritis: effects on lower limb frontal plane biomechanics, *Clin. Biomech.* 27 (2012) 27–33.
- [8] A.O. Radzimski, A. Mündermann, G. Sole, Effect of footwear on the external knee adduction moment – a systematic review, *Knee* 19 (2012) 163–175.
- [9] M.R. Maly, E.G. Culham, P.A. Costigan, Static and dynamic biomechanics of foot orthoses in people with medial compartment knee osteoarthritis, *Clin. Biomech.* 17 (2002) 603–610.
- [10] J.T. Weinhandl, S.E. Sudheimer, B.L. Van Lunen, K. Stewart, M.C. Hoch, Immediate and 1 week effects of laterally wedge insoles on gait biomechanics in healthy females, *Gait Posture* 45 (2016) 164–169.
- [11] G.J. Chapman, M.J. Parkes, L. Forsythe, D.T. Felson, R.K. Jones, Ankle motion influences the external knee adduction moment and may predict who will respond to lateral wedge insoles? An ancillary analysis from the SILK trial, *Osteoarthr. Cartil.* 23 (2015) 1316–1322.
- [12] M.J. Parkes, N. Maricar, M. Lunt, M.P. LaValley, R.K. Jones, N.A. Segal, K. Takahashi-Narita, D.T. Felson, Lateral wedge insoles as a conservative treatment for pain in patients with medial knee osteoarthritis: a meta-analysis, *JAMA J. Am. Med. Assoc.* 310 (2013) 722–730.
- [13] M.H. Schwartz, A. Rozumalski, J.P. Trost, The effect of walking speed on the gait of typically developing children, *J. Biomech.* 41 (2008) 1639–1650.
- [14] S. van Sint Jan, *Color Atlas of Skeletal Landmark Definitions*, Churchill Livingstone, Philadelphia, 2007.
- [15] R. Rubin, H.B. Menz, Use of laterally wedged custom foot orthoses to reduce pain associated with medial knee osteoarthritis: a preliminary investigation, *J. Am. Podiatr. Med. Assoc.* 95 (2005) 347–352.
- [16] J.A. Barrios, J.R. Crenshaw, T.D. Royer, I.S. Davis, Walking shoes and laterally wedged orthoses in the clinical management of medial tibiofemoral osteoarthritis: a one-year prospective controlled trial, *Knee* 16 (2009) 136–142.
- [17] J. Leitch, J. Stebbins, G. Paolini, A.B. Zavatsky, Identifying gait events without a force plate during running: a comparison of methods, *Gait Posture* 33 (2011) 130–132.
- [18] J.L. Astephen, K.J. Deluzio, G.E. Caldwell, M.J. Dunbar, Biomechanical changes at the hip, knee, and ankle joints during gait are associated with knee osteoarthritis severity, *J. Orthop. Res.* 26 (2008) 332–341.
- [19] W. Kakihana, M. Akai, K. Nakazawa, T. Takashima, K. Naito, S. Torii, Effects of laterally wedged insoles on knee and subtalar joint moments, *Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil.* 86 (2005) 1465–1471.
- [20] R Core Team, *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*, 2016.
- [21] E.M. Russell, J. Hamill, Lateral wedges decrease biomechanical risk factors for knee osteoarthritis in obese women, *J. Biomech.* 44 (2011) 2286–2291.
- [22] A. Mündermann, C.O. Dyrby, D.E. Hurwitz, L. Sharma, T.P. Andriacchi, Potential strategies to reduce medial compartment loading in patients with knee osteoarthritis of varying severity: reduced walking speed, *Arthritis Rheum.* 50 (2004) 1172–1178.
- [23] J. Nilsson, A. Thorstensson, Ground reaction forces at different speeds of human walking and running, *Acta Physiol. Scand.* 136 (1989) 217–227.
- [24] L. Sharma, J. Song, D.T. Felson, S. Cahue, E. Shamiyeh, D.D. Dunlop, The role of knee alignment in disease progression and functional decline in knee osteoarthritis, *JAMA* 286 (2001) 188–195.
- [25] J.R. Franz, J. Dicharry, P.O. Riley, K. Jackson, R.P. Wilder, D.C. Kerrigan, The influence of arch supports on knee torques relevant to knee osteoarthritis, *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 40 (2008) 913–917.
- [26] R.T. Lewinson, J.T. Worobets, D.J. Stefanyshyn, Control conditions for footwear insole and orthotic research, *Gait Posture* 48 (2016) 99–105.